ALICE IN THE TIME OF COVID-19

The release of this ALICE Report for Maryland comes during an unprecedented crisis — the COVID-19 pandemic. While our world changed significantly in March 2020 with the impact of this global, dual health and economic crisis, ALICE remains central to the story in every U.S. county and state. The pandemic has exposed exactly the issues of economic fragility and widespread hardship that United For ALICE and the ALICE data work to reveal.

That exposure makes the ALICE data and analysis more important than ever. The ALICE Report for Maryland presents the latest ALICE data available — a point-in-time snapshot of economic conditions across the state in 2018. By showing how many Maryland households were struggling then, the ALICE Research provides the backstory for why the COVID-19 crisis is having such a devastating economic impact. The ALICE data is especially important now to help stakeholders identify the most vulnerable in their communities and direct programming and resources to assist them throughout the pandemic and the recovery that follows. And as Maryland moves forward, this data can be used to estimate the impact of the crisis over time, providing an important baseline for changes to come.

This crisis is fast-moving and quickly evolving. To stay abreast of the impact of COVID-19 on ALICE households and their communities, visit our website at UnitedForALICE.org/COVID19 for updates.
Dear Marylanders,

The COVID-19 pandemic has changed our world and upended our lives. Much of what we’re experiencing—uncertainty about the future, difficulty obtaining basic resources—is what many Marylanders faced every day before this crisis.

This 2020 ALICE Report for Maryland focuses on hardworking people whose lives are defined by unpredictability and tough decisions, where one unexpected expense or lost paycheck could result in financial crisis.

They are the ALICE (Asset Limited, Income Constrained, Employed) population: families and individuals who are working hard and fighting with all they’ve got, but who can’t make ends meet despite their best efforts, which often include working multiple, low-paying jobs. This 2020 ALICE Report shows that 39% of Marylanders fit this profile.

The pandemic has revealed just how critical this population is to our economy and the stability of our communities. ALICE workers are our first responders, health care workers, grocery employees, and all those who maintain the infrastructures and operations that allow us to navigate daily life with ease.

They are our essential workers, yet they can’t afford life’s essentials — housing, food, health care, child care, transportation, and taxes.

United For ALICE has been tracking the number of households across the country that earn at or below the ALICE “Household Survival Budget,” a bare-minimum budget that includes only the most basic expenses, since 2007. The research reveals persistent and widening income disparities in Maryland, particularly among Black households and those of other races and ethnicities within the state. Our collective work toward equity as a community must include equal access to financial stability for all.

It’s critical to note that the results of this Report reflect a period before the pandemic. We are now experiencing an economic crisis alongside this health crisis. The ALICE data can be used to identify the most at-risk households currently, and it will allow us to estimate the impact of the pandemic over time, providing an important baseline for the changes to come.

United Way has long applied our resources, experience, and energy to removing the obstacles that prevent hardworking people from achieving economic stability. In the wake of the current crisis, the need is even greater, and we will fight even harder for economic equality.

This Report is made possible by Kaiser Permanente, our corporate sponsor, and by those who contribute to the work of United Way organizations throughout Maryland. With their support, we are uniquely qualified to translate this data into meaningful action to improve lives and strengthen the economic wellbeing of our state.

United for equity,

Franklyn D. Baker
President & CEO
United Way of Central Maryland
On Behalf of the United Ways of Maryland
Maryland State Partners

Special thanks to our sponsors for helping to bring the message of ALICE to the state of Maryland:

Note: In addition to the state partners listed below, this Report was made possible by the United Ways noted above in bold.

Learn more about ALICE in Maryland: www.uwcm.org/ALICE
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A CALL FOR ECONOMIC JUSTICE IN MARYLAND

At Kaiser Permanente, we are deeply committed to the health and well-being of our members and the communities we serve. We strive to be a force for positive change and a source of support across the nation and right here, in Maryland. United Way of Central Maryland is a strong partner in our mission to advance public health and policy in the region. United Way's ALICE Report documents the financial insecurity of individuals and families in Maryland and shines a light on those who experience financial instability, despite employment.

The ALICE Report provides crucial data and insights that will inform community leaders and lawmakers as they develop strategies and policies that support these working families, many of whom are essential workers who put themselves at risk every day during the current pandemic. This Report demonstrates that having a job does not equate to financial stability. Now, more than ever, we must use this data to evaluate and activate the right approaches to addressing socio-economic challenges facing Marylanders.

In this moment when so many have been galvanized to take up the fight for equity, we at Kaiser Permanente feel a deep obligation to further our work to drive health equity. We understand that struggling families who cannot consistently afford food, transportation, housing, and healthcare are victims of broken systems that have institutionalized barriers to total health for generations.

While we continue to deliver excellent health care, Kaiser Permanente is also supporting initiatives and collaborative partnerships that increase economic opportunity for individuals and small businesses, particularly those led by people of color, and we will advance the work of nonprofit organizations that drive action to eliminate systemic racism and social injustice and those that promote racial healing.

We are proud to support the ALICE Report and to join with United Way to strengthen policies and practices to support total health and well-being here in Maryland and beyond.

Yours in good health, equity, and justice.

Celeste A. James
Executive Director
Kaiser Permanente
Community Health

About Kaiser Permanente

Kaiser Permanente is recognized as one of America's leading health care providers and not-for-profit health plans. Founded in 1945, Kaiser Permanente has a mission to provide high-quality, affordable health care services and to improve the health of our members and the communities we serve. Our belief that economic and environmental factors drive total health leads us to invest in approaches that have health, economic and social impacts and promote wellbeing for our members and communities, particularly those experiencing inequities and injustice.

https://about.kaiserpermanente.org/
ALICE RESEARCH

ALICE Reports provide high-quality, research-based information to foster a better understanding of who is struggling in our communities. To produce the ALICE Report for Maryland, our team of researchers collaborated with a Research Advisory Committee composed of experts from across the state. Research Advisory Committee members from our partner states also periodically review the ALICE Methodology. This collaborative model ensures that the ALICE Reports present unbiased data that is replicable, easily updated on a regular basis, and sensitive to local context.

Learn more about the ALICE Team on our website at UnitedForALICE.org/ALICE-team
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ALICE: A GRASSROOTS MOVEMENT

This body of research provides a framework, language, and tools to measure and understand the struggles of a population called ALICE—an acronym for Asset Limited, Income Constrained, Employed. ALICE represents the growing number of households in our communities that do not earn enough to afford basic necessities. Partnering with United Ways, nonprofits, academic institutions, corporations, and other state organizations, this research initiative provides data to stimulate meaningful discussion, attract new partners, and ultimately inform strategies for positive change.

Based on the overwhelming success of this research in identifying and articulating the needs of this vulnerable population, this work has grown from a pilot in Morris County, New Jersey to 21 states and more than 648 United Ways. Together, United For ALICE partners can evaluate current initiatives and discover innovative approaches to improve life for ALICE and the wider community. To access Reports from all states, visit UnitedForALICE.org

NATIONAL ALICE ADVISORY COUNCIL
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- Johnson & Johnson
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- Kaiser Permanente
- Key Bank
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- Robert Wood Johnson Foundation
- Thrivent Financial Foundation
- UPS
- U.S. Venture
WHAT’S NEW IN ALICE RESEARCH

Every two years, United For ALICE undertakes a full review of the ALICE Methodology to ensure that the ALICE measures are transparent, replicable, and current in order to accurately reflect how much income families need to live and work in the modern economy. In 2019, more than 40 external experts — drawn from the Research Advisory Committees across our United For ALICE partner states — participated in the review process. A full description of the Methodology and sources is available at UnitedForALICE.org/Methodology.

This Report includes the following improvements:

More local variation: The ALICE budgets for housing, food, transportation, health care, and taxes incorporate more local data. For housing, we differentiate counties within Metropolitan Statistical Areas using American Community Survey gross rent estimates. For food, the U.S. Department of Agriculture’s Thrifty Food Plan is adjusted at the county level using Feeding America’s cost-of-meal data. For transportation, auto insurance is added to new miles-traveled data (discussed in the next paragraph) to reflect different driving costs by state. For health care, out-of-pocket costs are provided by census region. And taxes now systematically include local income tax, using data from the Tax Foundation.

Better reflection of household composition: Transportation and health care budgets now better reflect costs for different household members. The transportation budget for driving a car uses the Federal Highway Administration’s miles-traveled data, sorted by age and gender, and AAA’s cost-per-mile for a small or medium-sized car. The health care budget reflects employer-sponsored health insurance (the most common form in 2018, when it covered 49% of Americans), using the employee’s contribution, plus out-of-pocket expenditures by age and income, from the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality Medical Expenditure Panel Survey.

More variations by household size: The median household size in the U.S. is three people for households headed by a person under age 65 and two people for households headed by seniors (65+). Reflecting this reality, the Household Survival Budgets are presented in new variations, including a Senior Survival Budget. The website provides data to create budgets for households with any combination of adults and children. The ALICE Threshold has also been adjusted to incorporate the most common modern household compositions. These new budget variations are included in the County Profile and Household Budget pages on UnitedForALICE.org/Maryland.

New ALICE measures:

• The Senior Survival Budget more accurately represents household costs for people age 65 and over. Housing and technology remain constant; however, some costs are lower — transportation, food, and health insurance premiums (due to Medicare) — while others are higher, especially out-of-pocket health costs. Because over 90% of seniors have at least one chronic condition, the Senior Survival Budget includes the additional cost of treating the average of the five most common chronic diseases.

• The ALICE Essentials Index is a standardized measure of the change over time in the costs of essential household goods and services, calculated for both urban and rural areas. It can be used as a companion to the Bureau of Labor Statistics’ (BLS) Consumer Price Index, which covers all goods and services that families at all income levels buy regularly.

Data Notes: The data are estimates; some are geographic averages, others are one- or five-year averages depending on population size. Change-over-time ranges start with 2007, before the Great Recession, then measure change every two years from 2010 to 2018. County-level data remains the primary focus, as state averages mask significant differences between counties. For example, the share of households below the ALICE Threshold in Maryland ranges from 28% in Howard County to 57% in Somerset County. Many percentages are rounded to whole numbers, sometimes resulting in percentages totaling 99% or 101%. The methodological improvements included in this Report have been applied to previous years to allow for accurate year-over-year comparisons. This means that some numbers and percentages at the state and county level will not match those reported in previous ALICE Reports for Maryland.
# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Asset Limited, Income Constrained, Employed</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At-a-Glance: Maryland</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Who Is ALICE?</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALICE in Baltimore City</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trends: Household Demographics</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Cost of Living in Maryland</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The ALICE Household Budgets</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The ALICE Essentials Index</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trends: Cost of Living</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Changing Landscape of Work in Maryland</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The New Labor Force</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALICE Jobs: Maintaining the Economy</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trends: The Landscape of Work</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Next Steps: Data for Action</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identifying Gaps</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Understanding Alice: Health, Education, and Social Factors</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Benefits of Moving Toward Equity in Maryland</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endnotes</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 12: Sources</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From 2010 to 2018, Maryland showed steady economic improvements according to traditional measures. Unemployment in the state and across the U.S. fell to historic lows, GDP grew, and wages rose slightly. Yet despite Maryland's economic strengths, there were sharp disparities in income and wealth from one county to the next. In 2018, eight years after the end of the Great Recession, 39% of Maryland's 2,211,851 households still struggled to make ends meet. And while 9% of these households were living below the Federal Poverty Level (FPL), another 30% — more than three times as many — were ALICE households: Asset Limited, Income Constrained, Employed. These households earned above the FPL, but not enough to afford basic household necessities.

This Report provides new data and tools that explain the persistent level of hardship faced by ALICE households, revealing aspects of the Maryland economy not tracked by traditional economic measures. The Report highlights three critical trends:

- **The cost of living is increasing for ALICE households.** From 2007 to 2018, the cost of household essentials (housing, child care, food, transportation, health care, and technology) increased faster than the cost of other goods and services. The ALICE Essentials Index, a new tool that measures change over time in the cost of essentials, increased at an average rate of 3.4% annually nationwide over the past decade, while the official rate of inflation was 1.8%.

- **Worker vulnerability is increasing while wages stagnate in ALICE jobs.** By 2018, a near-record-low number of people were reported to be unemployed. However, that low unemployment concealed three trends that expose ALICE workers to greater risk: growth in the number of low-wage jobs, minimal increases in wages, and more fluctuations in job hours, schedules, and benefits that make it harder to budget and plan. These trends were clear in 2018: A record number of Maryland workers — 46% — were paid by the hour, and 48% of the state's jobs paid less than $20 per hour.

- **The number of ALICE households increased by 57% in Maryland from 2007 to 2018** as a result of rising costs and stagnant wages. The FPL, with its minimal and uniform national estimate of the cost of living, far underestimates the number of households that cannot afford to live and work in the modern economy. There are many more ALICE households than households in poverty, and the number of ALICE households is increasing at a faster rate. In Maryland, the percentage of households that were ALICE rose from 20% in 2007 to 30% in 2018, while those in poverty remained at around 9% throughout the period.

This Report provides critical measures that assess Maryland's economy from four perspectives: They track financial hardship over time and across demographic groups; quantify the basic cost of living in Maryland; assess job trends; and identify gaps in assistance and community resources. These measures also debunk assumptions and stereotypes about low-income workers and families. ALICE households are as diverse as the general population, composed of people of all ages, genders, races, and ethnicities, living in rural, urban, and suburban areas.

The Report concludes with an analysis of the economic benefits if all households had income above the ALICE Threshold. Not only would there be a significant positive impact on families and their communities, but the state economy would also benefit. In fact, the added value to the Maryland GDP would be approximately $80 billion.

This Report and its measures are tools to help stakeholders ask the right questions, reduce vulnerabilities, remove obstacles to advancement, identify gaps in community resources, build a stronger workforce, and implement programs and policies that help put financial stability within reach for ALICE households. With the magnitude of financial hardship revealed, these actions can help move all households toward a more equitable economy, and ensure that no one is left behind in harder times.
GLOSSARY

ALICE is an acronym that stands for Asset Limited, Income Constrained, Employed — households with income above the Federal Poverty Level but below the basic cost of living. A household consists of all the people who occupy a housing unit. In this Report, households do not include those living in group quarters such as a dorm, nursing home, or prison.

The Household Survival Budget estimates the actual bare-minimum costs of basic necessities (housing, child care, food, transportation, health care, and a basic smartphone plan) in Maryland, adjusted for different counties and household types.

The Senior Survival Budget incorporates specific cost estimates for seniors for food, transportation, and health care, reflecting key differences in household expenses by age.

The Household Stability Budget calculates the costs of supporting and sustaining an economically viable household over time, including a contingency for savings.

The ALICE Threshold is the average income that a household needs to afford the basic necessities defined by the Household Survival Budget for each county in Maryland. Households Below the ALICE Threshold include both ALICE and poverty-level households.

The ALICE Essentials Index is a measure of the average change over time in the costs of the essential goods and services that households need to live and work in the modern economy — housing, child care, food, transportation, health care, and a smartphone plan.

ALICE ONLINE

Visit UnitedForALICE.org for more details about ALICE, including:

- **Interactive Maps**
  Data at the state, county, municipal, ZIP code, and congressional district levels

- **Demographic Data**
  Information about ALICE households by age, race/ethnicity, and household type

- **County Profiles**
  Detailed data about ALICE households in each county

- **Research Advisory Committee**
  Learn about the members and role of this critical group

- **Data Spreadsheet**
  Download the ALICE data

- **Methodology**
  Overview of the sources and calculations used in the ALICE research

- **Additional Reports**
  Explore The ALICE Essentials Index and The Consequences of Insufficient Household Income

- **Jobs Graphs**
  Details about where ALICE works

- **More About United For ALICE**
  See our partners, press coverage, learning communities, etc.

- **Research Advisory Committee**
  Learn about the members and role of this critical group

- **Data Spreadsheet**
  Download the ALICE data

- **Methodology**
  Overview of the sources and calculations used in the ALICE research

- **Additional Reports**
  Explore The ALICE Essentials Index and The Consequences of Insufficient Household Income

- **Jobs Graphs**
  Details about where ALICE works

- **More About United For ALICE**
  See our partners, press coverage, learning communities, etc.
How many households are struggling?

ALICE, an acronym for Asset Limited, Income Constrained, Employed, comprises households that earn more than the Federal Poverty Level but less than the basic cost of living for the state (the ALICE Threshold). Of Maryland’s 2,211,851 households, 198,699 earned below the Federal Poverty Level (9%) in 2018, and another (30%) 661,534 were ALICE.

What does the Maryland labor force look like?

A 2018 overview of the labor status of Maryland’s 4,820,560 working-age adults (people age 16 and over) shows that 67% of adults were in the labor force (blue bars), yet more than half were workers who were paid hourly. Hourly paid jobs tend to have lower wages, fewer benefits, and less stability. In addition, 32% of adults were outside the labor force (gold bars), either because they were retired or because they had stopped looking for work.

Labor Status, Population Age 16 and Over, Maryland, 2018

Note: Data for full- and part-time jobs is only available at the national level; these national rates (51% of full-time workers and 75% of part-time, hourly workers) have been applied to the total Maryland workforce to calculate the breakdown shown in this figure. Full-time represents a minimum of 35 hours per week at one or more jobs for 48 weeks per year. Percentages are rounded to whole numbers, sometimes resulting in percentages totaling 99% or 101%.
What does it cost to afford the basic necessities?
The average ALICE Household Survival Budget in Maryland was $33,636 for a single adult, $36,804 for a single senior, and $87,156 for a family of four in 2018 — significantly more than the Federal Poverty Level of $12,140 for a single adult and $25,100 for a family of four.

### Household Survival Budget, Maryland, Average, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SINGLE ADULT</th>
<th>SENIOR (1 ADULT)</th>
<th>2 ADULTS, 1 INFANT, 1 PRESCHOOLER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monthly Costs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing</td>
<td>$1,129</td>
<td>$1,129</td>
<td>$1,542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Care</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$1,317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food</td>
<td>$292</td>
<td>$249</td>
<td>$884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>$335</td>
<td>$293</td>
<td>$779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Care</td>
<td>$213</td>
<td>$522</td>
<td>$832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology</td>
<td>$55</td>
<td>$55</td>
<td>$75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>$255</td>
<td>$279</td>
<td>$660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxes</td>
<td>$524</td>
<td>$540</td>
<td>$1,174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monthly Total</strong></td>
<td>$2,803</td>
<td>$3,067</td>
<td>$7,263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ANNUAL TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>$33,636</td>
<td>$36,804</td>
<td>$87,156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hourly Wage</strong>*</td>
<td>$16.82</td>
<td>$18.40</td>
<td>$43.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Full-time wage required to support this budget

---

**Maryland Counties, 2018**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COUNTY</th>
<th>TOTAL HOUSEHOLDS</th>
<th>% ALICE &amp; POVERTY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Allegany</td>
<td>27,190</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anne Arundel</td>
<td>212,687</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltimore</td>
<td>313,259</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltimore City</td>
<td>237,204</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calvert</td>
<td>31,726</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caroline</td>
<td>60,371</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cecil</td>
<td>36,930</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles</td>
<td>55,903</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorchester</td>
<td>13,264</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frederick</td>
<td>95,903</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garrett</td>
<td>12,073</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Maryland Counties, 2018**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COUNTY</th>
<th>TOTAL HOUSEHOLDS</th>
<th>% ALICE &amp; POVERTY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Harford</td>
<td>94,802</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howard</td>
<td>116,903</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kent</td>
<td>7,910</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery</td>
<td>368,334</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince George's</td>
<td>315,759</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Queen Anne's</td>
<td>18,148</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Mary's</td>
<td>40,332</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somerset</td>
<td>8,383</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talbot</td>
<td>16,627</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>56,306</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wicomico</td>
<td>38,084</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worcester</td>
<td>21,672</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WHO IS ALICE?

With income above the Federal Poverty Level (FPL) but below a basic survival threshold — defined as the ALICE Threshold — ALICE households earn too much to qualify as “poor” but are still unable to make ends meet. They often work as cashiers, nursing assistants, office clerks, servers, laborers, and security guards. These types of jobs are vital to keeping Maryland’s economy running smoothly, but they do not provide adequate wages to cover the basics of housing, child care, food, transportation, health care, and technology for these ALICE workers and their families.

Between 2007 and 2018, the total number of households in the state increased 6% to 2,211,851 households. The number of households in poverty remained relatively flat during that time, with their share of total households increasing from 8% in 2007 to 9% in 2018. Yet the number of ALICE households in Maryland increased dramatically, especially during the Great Recession, rising from 20% of all households in 2007 to 28% in 2010, and then rising to a high of 30% in 2014, where it remained through 2018.

Overall, the percentage of households living below the ALICE Threshold (ALICE and poverty-level households combined), increased from 28% in 2007 to 39% in 2018 (Figure 1).

Figure 1.
Households by Income, Maryland, 2007–2018

ALICE households live in every county in Maryland — urban, suburban, and rural — and they include people of all genders, ages, and races/ethnicities, across all household types. Figure 2 shows that in 2018, the largest numbers of households below the ALICE Threshold were in the largest demographic groups in Maryland — namely, households headed by someone in their prime working years (ages 25–64), White households, and single or cohabiting households (without children or seniors). Among families with children, married-parent families were the largest subgroup and accounted for 39% of families with children living below the ALICE Threshold.

Sources: ALICE Threshold, 2007–2018; American Community Survey, 2007–2018
Figure 2.
Household Types by Income, Largest Groups, Maryland, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Household Type</th>
<th>Below ALICE Threshold</th>
<th>Above ALICE Threshold</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single-Female-Headed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married With Children</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seniors (65+)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Families With Children</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 to 44 Years Old</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 to 64 Years Old</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single or Cohabiting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The groups shown in this figure overlap across categories (age, household type, race/ethnicity). Within the race/ethnicity category, all racial categories except Two or More Races are for one race alone. Race and ethnicity are overlapping categories; in this Report, the Asian, Black, Hawaiian (includes other Pacific Islanders), and Two or More Races groups may include Hispanic households. The White group includes only White, non-Hispanic households. The Hispanic group may include households of any race. Because household poverty data is not available for the American Community Survey’s race/ethnicity categories, annual income below $15,000 is used as a proxy.

Sources: ALICE Threshold, 2018; American Community Survey, 2018

Another way to examine the data is to look at the proportion of each group that is below the ALICE Threshold. Overall, 39% of households in Maryland had income below the ALICE Threshold in 2018. But many population groups had a disproportionately high percentage of families below the ALICE Threshold, including Black households, Hispanic households, young households (headed by someone under age 25), and single-female-headed households (Figure 3). Single-male-headed households, a small group, also had a percentage of households below the ALICE Threshold (57%) that was more than the state average.

Figure 3.
Select Household Groups by Income, Maryland, 2018

Sources: ALICE Threshold, 2018; American Community Survey, 2018
ALICE IN BALTIMORE CITY

Financial hardship was much greater in Baltimore City in 2018 than in most other parts of the state, and it varied dramatically across the city’s neighborhoods. The percentage of households with income below the ALICE Threshold ranged from 20% in South Baltimore and Canton to 82% in Greenmount East in 2018. Like Greenmount East, many of the neighborhoods with the highest percentage of households below the ALICE Threshold have predominantly Black populations, including Cherry Hill, Oldtown/Middle East, Poppleton/The Terraces/Hollins Market, Sandtown-Winchester/Harlem Park, and Upton/Druid Heights — all with nearly 80% of households below the ALICE Threshold. Professor Lawrence Brown of Morgan State University refers to these segregated Black communities as “the Black Butterfly,” a description of the shape they make as they span across the city’s eastern and western halves. These neighborhoods have experienced persistent racial discrimination, which has led to significant disparities in jobs, wages, schools, housing, and health, as well as heightened crime and community violence. While community revitalization efforts have brought some positive change to these areas, higher rates of poverty and unemployment persist.

By contrast, neighborhoods in close proximity to Baltimore Harbor (South Baltimore and Canton) had less than 20% of households below the ALICE Threshold, followed by Inner Harbor and Federal Hill, with 28% and 30%, respectively. An interactive map of Baltimore and ALICE data can be found at UnitedForAlice/County-Profiles/Maryland (select Baltimore City in the County Profile Tool, then scroll down to the map and select Neighborhoods from the Additional Geographies dropdown menu).

TRENDS: HOUSEHOLD DEMOGRAPHICS

A growing number of households live on the edge of the ALICE Threshold. For these households, even a small increase in the cost of housing or a decrease in work hours can mean the difference between being financially stable and being ALICE — or between being ALICE and falling into poverty. In Maryland, 18% of households (more than 400,000) were on the cusp of the ALICE Threshold in 2018, with earnings just above or below it. This matters not only for families, but also for the Maryland economy: Small increases in regular bills like rent, food, or gasoline; a decrease in wages or hours worked; or an unexpected emergency, such as a factory closing or a natural disaster, could destabilize a large number of households.

Maryland is increasingly diverse. From 2010 to 2018, the total number of White households in Maryland decreased by 3%, while the number of households of other racial/ethnic groups continued to increase: The next largest group, Black households, increased by 5%, followed by Hispanic households, which increased by 36%, and Asian households, by 23%. During this time, the number of households of color earning below the ALICE Threshold increased even faster; the largest growth in households below the ALICE Threshold came from Hispanic households, rising by 51%, followed by Asian households, by 28%. Growth in the total number of households in the state has been concentrated in the Southern Maryland Region and the Suburban Washington Region, while the population in rural counties in the Western Maryland Region and Eastern Shore Region has declined.
Maryland’s household structure continues to change. In 2018, single or cohabiting adults under age 65 with no children under age 18 made up the largest proportion of households in Maryland (47%), as well as the largest share of households below the ALICE Threshold (44%). Nationally, the number of cohabiting adults more than doubled between 1996 and 2017, and these partners tend to have higher levels of education and be more racially diverse today than cohabiting adults 20 years ago.7

Baby boomers and millennials, the two largest population bubbles, are getting older. This natural aging of the population is increasing the number of seniors as more boomers pass age 65. It is also reducing the proportion of both college-age students and families with children, as millennials have passed traditional college age, are having fewer children, and are waiting longer than previous generations to have them.8

Among seniors, there are three trends. First, the White population in Maryland is older than other racial/ethnic groups and will continue to account for an increasing share of the senior population. In 2018, seniors comprised 25% of total households in the state, with nearly half (48%) living below the ALICE Threshold. Second, having lived through a decade of financial challenges since the Great Recession, more Maryland seniors will become ALICE. While there are many policies and programs in place to help seniors financially — such as Social Security, property tax deductions or exemptions based on age, and senior discounts for both private and public purchases — many seniors continue to experience financial hardship. And third, seniors make up a larger portion of households in rural areas, where they will continue to face additional challenges in access to transportation, health care, and caregiving. A 2020 report on the best and worst places for seniors to live ranked Maryland 21st out of 50 states, with high scores in quality of life rankings, but low scores in health care professional shortages, high housing costs, and traffic congestion driving down the ranking.9

Inequality in income and wealth will continue to rise as wage growth and job stability in high-wage jobs greatly outpace growth and stability at the lower end. Nationwide, from the late 1940s to the early 1970s, incomes across the income distribution grew at nearly the same pace. Then, beginning in the 1970s, income disparities began to widen: The average income for the top 1% increased over five times more than that of the middle 60% and over three times more than that of the bottom fifth, from 1979 to 2016.10 The gap in wealth (savings and assets) is even greater. Unable to save, ALICE families do not have the means to build assets, let alone catch up to those who already have assets (especially those who have been building assets for generations). ALICE families also face more barriers that, when compounded, create an even bigger wealth gap. These include issues like lower pay for women, racial/ethnic discrimination in homeownership, and student loan debt.11
THE COST OF LIVING IN MARYLAND

Traditional economic measures systematically underestimate the actual cost of basic needs and their rate of increase over time, concealing important aspects of the local and national economy. To better capture the reality of how much income households need to live and work in the modern economy in each county in Maryland, this Report includes the ALICE Household Budgets. In addition, the Report presents the ALICE Essentials Index, a standardized national measure that captures change over time in the cost of household essentials that ALICE households purchase. Together, these tools provide a more accurate estimate of the cost of living and a clearer way to track change over time.

THE ALICE HOUSEHOLD BUDGETS

United For ALICE provides three basic budgets for all counties in Maryland. Each budget can be calculated for various household types.

- The ALICE Household Survival Budget is an estimate of the minimal total cost of household essentials — housing, child care, food, transportation, health care, and technology, plus taxes and a miscellaneous contingency fund equal to 10% of the budget. It does not include savings, auto repairs, cable service, travel, laundry costs, or amenities such as holiday gifts or dinner at a restaurant that many families take for granted.

- The Senior Survival Budget, new to this Report, adjusts the Household Survival Budget to reflect the fact that seniors have lower food costs than younger adults, travel fewer miles for work and family responsibilities, and have increasing health needs and out-of-pocket health care expenses.

- For comparison to a more sustainable budget, the ALICE Household Stability Budget estimates the higher costs of maintaining a viable household over time, and it is the only ALICE budget to include a savings category, equal to 10% of the budget.

The actual cost of household basics in every county in Maryland is well above the Federal Poverty Level (FPL) for all household sizes and types (Figure 4). For a single adult, the FPL was $12,140 per year in 2018, but the average Household Survival Budget in Maryland was $33,636 per year.12 The average Senior Survival Budget totaled $36,804 per year, primarily due to increased health costs. (Despite having Medicare, seniors have greater out-of-pocket health care costs, largely due to increased spending on chronic health issues like heart disease and diabetes.) And all budgets were significantly lower than the Household Stability Budget, which reached $58,632 per year for a single adult.

The gaps are even larger for families. The FPL for a four-person family was $25,100 in 2018, while the Household Survival Budget for a family with two adults, an infant, and a four-year-old was $87,156.13

The hourly wages needed to support these budgets were $16.82 for the single adult Survival Budget; $18.40 for the Senior Survival Budget; and $43.58 for one worker or $21.79 each for two workers for the Survival Budget for a family of four. To put these budgets in perspective, the median hourly wage for the most common occupation in Maryland, cashiers, was $10.67 in 2018, or $21,340 if full time, year-round — not enough to support any of the ALICE budgets (Figure 4).

Public assistance programs are based on the FPL, but the FPL is not enough for a household to cover even its most minimal costs, as shown by the comparison to the Household Survival Budget in Figure 4. This means that assistance programs serve far fewer households than actually need assistance, even in a strong economy.

To see the details of each ALICE budget for different household types, visit UnitedForALICE.org/Maryland
Figure 4.
Budget Comparison, Maryland, 2018

Note: The FPL is a total; there is no breakdown of how that amount is allocated by budget category.

THE ALICE ESSENTIALS INDEX

Based on items in the Household Survival Budget, the ALICE Essentials Index measures the change over time in the costs of household essentials — a much narrower definition than the more common rate of inflation based on the BLS Consumer Price Index (CPI). While the CPI covers a large group of goods and services that urban consumers buy regularly (housing, food and beverages, transportation, medical care, apparel, recreation, education, and communication services), the ALICE Essentials Index includes only essential household items (housing, child care, food, transportation, health care, and a smartphone plan). The ALICE Essentials Index is also calculated for both urban and rural areas, while the CPI only tracks inflation based on a select number of metropolitan (urban) counties.¹⁵

Across the country, the ALICE Essentials Index has increased faster than the CPI over the last decade (Figure 5). From 2007 to 2018, the average annual rate of increase was 3.3% in urban areas and 3.4% in rural areas, while the CPI increased by 1.8%.¹⁶ This difference is primarily due to the fact that the costs of basics, especially housing and health care, have increased, while the costs of other items — notably manufactured goods, from apparel to cars — have remained relatively flat. And while basic household goods were 18% to 22% more expensive in urban areas than in rural areas, those costs increased at nearly the same rate in both areas during this period. For more detailed information, see the 2020 ALICE Essentials Index Report available at UnitedForALICE.org/Essentials-Index

The difference between these two cost-of-living measures is more than an academic question. The CPI is used to measure inflation and monitor monetary policy. It also determines the rate at which a wide range of government program levels and benefits are increased, including Social Security, veterans’ and Federal Civil Service retirees’ benefits, government assistance programs, the FPL, income tax brackets, and tax credits like the Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC).¹⁷ But the ALICE Essentials Index shows that from 2007 to 2018, the CPI considerably underestimated the increase in the cost of living for ALICE households across the country.
TRENDS: COST OF LIVING

The cost of living for ALICE is growing significantly in both urban and rural areas, often driven by the cost of housing. In Maryland, rising costs in urban areas — notably the Baltimore-Washington metropolitan area — are due to rapid population growth and increasing demand for low-cost, urban rental units (especially among millennials and seniors). This trend will continue as affordable housing becomes harder to find. And while the overall cost of living in rural America is lower than in metro areas, expenses — especially housing — are rising at similar rates in both areas. Nationwide, households that are severely rent burdened (with rent accounting for more than 50% of their income) are projected to grow by at least 11%, to 13.1 million households, by 2025.18

Commuting times will continue to increase, as will demand for alternative transportation options. High housing costs and urban sprawl push workers farther from their jobs and increase commute times, which has a negative impact on health, job retention, and productivity. These pressures — along with the cost of owning and maintaining a car — also increase demand for both traditional and new public transportation options (e.g., trains and buses, rideshares, and self-driving vehicles).19

The child care industry will face new challenges, and so will parents. As the number of families with children starts to decrease (it fell 5% in Maryland from 2010 to 2018), it will be more difficult for child care centers to stay in business, making child care harder to find and more expensive, especially in less populated areas. ALICE households, and single-parent families in particular, who are more likely to be ALICE, struggle to afford quality child care, and often pay a higher portion of their income for care. According to a 2018 Maryland Family Network report, child care costs were the highest in Montgomery County, at $27,962 a year for a family with two young children, accounting for 22% of the median household income. Yet in Baltimore City, with 55% of households below the ALICE Threshold, child care costs were $17,295, which was the highest household expense and accounted for 30% of the median household income.20 Compounding the cost issue is the fact that low-paid child care workers are ALICE as well (with a median hourly wage of $11.42 in Maryland).21 The overall trend, then, is toward fewer families with children but more who are struggling.

Food insecurity, a longstanding problem for families with children, is also increasing among young adults and seniors. In 2018, households headed by adults under the age of 25 were more likely to be below the ALICE Threshold compared to other age groups in Maryland, and they often struggled to put food on the table. A survey of nearly 5,000 University of Maryland undergraduate and graduate students found that 20% were food insecure in the preceding 12 months. Students who were more likely to be food insecure included students from lower-income households, first-generation college students, students of color, immigrants and those who were financially independent.22

There is also growing food insecurity at the other end of the age spectrum, with a projected 8 million food-insecure seniors nationwide by 2050. In Maryland in 2018, 11% of adults age 60 and older had experienced food insecurity in the prior 12 months.23 Compared to other seniors, food-insecure seniors are more than twice as likely to have depression, 91% more likely to have asthma, 66% more likely to have had a heart attack, and 57% more likely to have congestive heart failure. Public benefits help but do not eliminate the need for emergency assistance measures, such as food pantries.24
College students across the country are facing greater challenges in meeting living expenses, despite the fact that increasing numbers of students are working full or part time. Students often rely on multiple sources of financial support, including financial aid, student loans, and assistance from parents or other family members, to cover their living expenses. Yet even with these types of financial help, many students need to work while in school; in particular, more than two-thirds of students enrolled in community colleges work full or part time. In a recent financial wellness survey, 56% of students report paying for college using money from their current employment, and 31% of students pay for college with credit cards, leading to accumulation of increased debt. Working long hours to earn more income comes at a price, as it can interfere with academic performance and ultimately the likelihood of obtaining a degree. Students report that two of the major obstacles to academic success are juggling work with school and other responsibilities and difficulty meeting expenses. For more information, see the 2019 United For ALICE Report, The Consequences of Insufficient Household Income.

Gaps in health based on demographic, environmental, and socioeconomic factors will continue to grow. Volatility in health insurance availability and coverage, increasing out-of-pocket costs — even for those with employer-sponsored programs — and shortages of health care providers (especially in rural areas) make it harder for many families to get the health care they need. According to the America’s Health Rankings, Maryland ranked 19th of 50 states in 2018, down from 16th in 2017; challenges for the state included drug-related deaths, violent crime, infant mortality, and premature death. The state’s health systems ranked 20th in a 2018 Commonwealth Fund survey, receiving low rankings in avoidable hospital use and cost, healthy lifestyle behaviors, and disparities in care between higher- and lower-income patients. In Baltimore, a long history of discriminatory policies and disinvestment has led to significant health disparities, with Baltimore City ranking as the least healthy county in the state, followed by several Eastern Shore counties, according to the 2018 County Health Rankings Report. For example, the premature death rate is twice as high in Baltimore City as it is in Howard County, which ranked as one of the healthiest counties. These disparities will grow with new but expensive advances in medicine, compounded exposure to environmental hazards and public health crises for many low-income households, and a persistent context of discrimination and institutionalized racism in Maryland and across the country.

Natural and human-made disasters will continue to impact ALICE households disproportionately. Across Maryland, the increasing impact of these incidents — from floods and wildfires to pandemics — is felt most acutely by ALICE households and their surrounding communities. With minimal job security and little or no savings, ALICE families feel the impact of an economic disruption almost immediately as hourly paid workers suffer lost wages right away. ALICE households are more vulnerable during natural disasters as they often live in communities with fewer resources, and their housing is more susceptible to flooding, fire, and other hazards. With no financial cushion, ALICE workers struggle to repair damage, recover from illness, and pay ongoing bills. At the same time, ALICE workers are essential to disaster recovery efforts in both infrastructure repair and health care, and they are often forced to choose between caring for their families and ensuring community recovery. All of these costs are added to the increased risk of physical harm ALICE families face if they cannot afford to flee an oncoming natural disaster or take necessary precautions during a public health crisis.

Financial instability will mean additional costs for ALICE households. The costs of financial instability are cumulative and intensify over time. Skimping on essentials, from food to health care, leads to greater long-term problems (see United For ALICE’s 2019 Report, The Consequences of Insufficient Household Income). Failure to pay bills on time leads to fees, penalties, and low credit scores, which in turn increase interest rates, insurance rates, and costs for other financial transactions. In lower-income neighborhoods and communities of color, a lack of access to financial services such as banks and credit unions and the preponderance of alternative financial products increases expenses and makes it difficult to save and invest for the future. Unexpected expenses can intensify these impacts. In 2017, only 68% of Maryland households had set aside any money in the prior 12 months that could be used for unexpected expenses or emergencies such as illness or the loss of a job. Though this was well above the national rate of 42%, it still left almost one-third of Marylanders without any financial cushion. And without enough income to cover current and unexpected expenses, ALICE households cannot save for future expenses like education, retirement, or a down payment on a house.
THE CHANGING LANDSCAPE OF WORK
IN MARYLAND

ALICE workers play an essential role in Maryland’s economy but have not benefited from many of the state’s recent economic gains — a reality that is not captured by traditional economic measures. This section breaks down labor force data in new ways, and in so doing highlights the challenges ALICE workers face: the declining power of wages to keep up with the cost of living, greater dependence on hourly wages, a historically high number of adults out of the labor force, and increased economic risk for workers.

With a rising GDP and the lowest unemployment rate in 10 years, Maryland appeared to have a robust economic profile in 2018, with only 3% of adults actively looking but unable to find work. The state experienced employment gains in 2018, particularly in health care and social assistance, educational services, and administrative and support services. Yet while Maryland is recognized for its “meds, eds, and feds” and above-average median earnings, the state had a preponderance of hourly workers and low-wage jobs that could not support the basic household budget (Figure 6).38

Figure 6 illustrates the following trends in wages compared to the cost of living in Maryland from 2007 to 2018:

• Low-wage jobs (dark-blue line) are defined as those paying less than the wage needed for two workers to afford the family Household Survival Budget (which includes costs for two adults, an infant, and a four-year-old). In 2007, this was less than $14.12 per hour; by 2018, the wage required had increased to $21.79 per hour. The number of low-wage jobs increased by 61% during that period and accounted for the largest number of jobs in Maryland in 2018. This shows that, even with two earners working full time, it is not only possible but common for households to fall below the ALICE Threshold.

• Medium-wage jobs (light-blue line) allow two workers to afford a family Household Survival Budget. In 2007, these were jobs that paid between $14.12 and $28.25 per hour, per worker; by 2018, wages needed for these jobs were between $21.79 and $43.58 per hour, per worker. The number of medium-wage jobs decreased by 12% during that period.

• High-wage jobs (gold line) allow one worker to afford a family Household Survival Budget. In 2007, the wage required was $28.25 per hour or more; by 2018, the wage required had increased to $43.58 per hour. The number of high-wage jobs decreased by 32% during that period.39
Figure 6.
Number of Jobs by Wage Level, Maryland, 2007–2018

Note: Wage levels are defined by their relation to the Household Survival Budget. Dark-blue = Job cannot support family Household Survival Budget with two earners. Light-blue = Job supports family Household Survival Budget with two earners. Gold = Job supports family Household Survival Budget with one earner.

A 2018 overview of the labor status of Maryland’s 4,820,560 working-age adults (people age 16 and over) shows that 67% of adults were in the labor force (blue bars in Figure 7), yet more than half of them were workers who were paid hourly. In addition, 32% of adults were outside the labor force (gold bars), the largest number since the late 1970’s.40

Figure 7.
Labor Status, Population Age 16 and Over, Maryland, 2018

Note: Data for full- and part-time jobs is only available at the national level; these national rates (51% of full-time workers and 75% of part-time workers paid hourly) have been applied to the total Maryland workforce to calculate the breakdown shown in this figure. Full-time represents a minimum of 35 hours per week at one or more jobs for 48 weeks per year. Percentages are rounded to whole numbers, sometimes resulting in percentages totaling 99% or 101%.

Sources: American Community Survey, 2018; Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis, 2018

Though the majority of adults in Maryland were working in 2018 and most households had at least one worker, only 26% of working-age adults had the security of a full-time job with a salary. The rest were paid hourly and/or worked part time.41

Hourly Work and the Gig Economy

Employers’ increasing reliance on hourly workers is typically associated with freelance “gig economy” jobs (like rideshare driving or on-demand delivery), but even traditional jobs are now more likely to be paid by the hour, especially in retail, health care, food service, and construction.42 These workers are more likely to have fluctuations in income, with frequent schedule changes and variation in the number of hours available for work each week/month. They are also less likely to receive benefits, such as health insurance, paid time off, family leave, or retirement benefits, especially if they work fewer than 30 hours per week at a single job.43
Hourly workers are more likely to have multiple sources of income. Traditional measures of employment have focused on the number of jobs held by a worker; for example, BLS estimates that only 5% of workers held two or more jobs in 2018. However, in the modern economy, where many workers have their own small business, are consultants, or are contingent, temporary, freelance, or contract workers, a worker may have many sources of income that are not necessarily considered a “job.” In 2019, nearly half (45%) of working adults reported having a side gig outside of their primary job.

In comparison with hourly workers, salaried workers are paid an annual amount at regular pay periods, and usually receive benefits. Nationally, employers spent an average of 31% of compensation on benefits in 2018; not providing these represents significant savings to the employer. As a result, even traditional jobs are morphing as employers shift the financial risk of changes in supply and demand to employees. While this is true throughout the economy, it is especially concentrated in lower-wage positions — the jobs most accessible to ALICE.

Who is Out of the Labor Force?

Of adults 16 years and older in Maryland, 14% were out of the labor force in 2018 because they were retired and another 18% were out of the labor force for other reasons (gold bars in Figure 7). This totals 32%, almost one-third, of adults outside the labor force.

Retirees (age 65 and over and not working) are traditionally one of the largest groups out of the labor force. In Maryland in 2018, they accounted for 14%, in part due to the baby boomer generation aging into retirement. However, this number did not include the increasing number of seniors who were still working; in 2018, 26% of seniors in Maryland were still in the labor force, a higher rate than most other states.

Those under 65 and not working were out of the labor force for a variety of reasons, the two most common being:

- **School**: Nationally, 77% of high school students and 52% of college students did not work in 2018. At these rates, non-working students in Maryland would account for almost half (43%) of the state’s working-age adults out of the workforce.

- **Health**: Adults with one or more health issues — an illness or disability that makes it difficult to get to work, perform some job functions, or work long hours — accounted for 19% of those out of the labor force in Maryland in 2018.

The remainder of adults were out of the labor force for other reasons, including scheduling conflicts, family caregiving responsibilities, or limited access to transportation or child care. For women 25 to 54 years old, the most common reason for not working in 2018 was in-home responsibilities — caring for children, but also, as the population of Maryland ages, caring for an aging parent or a family member with a disability or chronic health issue.

These adults who were out of the labor force were not included in the state’s low unemployment rate, which only counts adults actively looking for work. In previous periods of low unemployment, employers have had to offer much higher wages to attract workers back into the labor force or away from other businesses. In the 2018 economy, however, those out of the labor force proved to be a large reserve of potential workers able to be drawn back into the labor force with only slightly higher wages — in effect, keeping wages low.
ALICE JOBS: MAINTAINING THE ECONOMY

While national conversations about work often focus on the economic importance of the “innovation” sector and its high-paying jobs, the reality is that the smooth functioning of the national and Maryland economies relies on a much larger number of occupations that build and repair the infrastructure and educate and care for the past, current, and future workforce. The workers in these jobs are described as “Maintainers” by technology scholars Lee Vinsel and Andrew Russell, and they are primarily ALICE.54 To better understand where ALICE works, we elaborate on Vinsel and Russell’s concept by breaking down all occupations in Maryland into two occupational categories, each with two job types: the lower-paying Maintainer occupations, composed of Infrastructor and Nurturer jobs; and the higher-paying Innovator occupations, composed of Adaptor and Inventor jobs.

DEFINITIONS

Maintainer Occupations:

Infrastructors build and maintain the physical economy (construction, maintenance, management, administration, manufacturing, agriculture, mining, transportation, retail).

Nurturers care for and educate the workforce (health and education, food service, arts, tourism, hospitality).

Innovator Occupations:

Adaptors implement existing tools or processes in new ways, responding to opportunities and changing circumstances (managers, industrial and organizational psychologists, analysts, designers, technicians, and even policymakers).

Inventors devise new processes, appliances, machines, or ideas. Before World War II, most inventors were independent entrepreneurs. Today, they are most likely engineers and scientists working in research & development, and, in some cases, higher education.

The largest employment sectors in Maryland are Maintainer occupations. The single largest industry in 2018, with 508,200 employees, or 18% of the state’s workforce, was government, which is comprised of a significant number of Infrastructor and Nurturer jobs. The second largest industry, with 470,400 employees, was trade, transportation and utilities, comprised of Infrastructor jobs; followed by education and health services, with 468,000 employees, which is comprised of Nurturer jobs. All three industries have large shares of ALICE workers.55 There are far fewer jobs in Innovator occupations (Adaptors and Inventors).

When stacked together, Maryland’s occupations form a pyramid that reveals the critical role of Maintainer jobs — the jobs most accessible to ALICE — in the state economy (Figure 8). The majority of Maintainer jobs (52% of both Infrastructor and Nurturer jobs) pay less than $20 per hour — a wage that, if full time, year-round, provides a maximum annual salary of $40,000, or $47,156 less than the family Household Survival Budget of $87,156. By comparison, almost all Adaptor and Inventor occupations pay more than $20 per hour.
Figure 8.
Occupations by Wage and Type, Maryland, 2018

The precarious nature of ALICE workers’ jobs is reinforced by the powerful relationship between low wages and the high risk of jobs becoming automated (defined as having a greater than 50% chance of being replaced by technology in the next decade). Jobs that pay less than $20 per hour are more likely to be replaced by technology compared to higher-paying jobs. This is especially true for Maintainer occupations, where most jobs pay less than $20 per hour and 85% of these low-paying jobs are at a high risk of automation. By comparison, only 36% of Maintainer jobs that pay more than $20 per hour are at that level of risk (Figure 9).

Figure 9. 
Occupations by Type and Risk of Automation, Maryland, 2018

There are also differences in salary and risk of automation based on the type of Maintainer job. Among Infrastructor jobs, 94% of jobs that pay less than $20 per hour are at risk of automation, compared to 53% of those that pay more than $20 per hour. Among Nurturer jobs, the discrepancy is even greater: 67% of jobs that pay less than $20 per hour are at risk of automation, compared with 4% of those that pay more than $20 per hour.56 Education level also impacts risk of automation; nationally, the risk for jobs that require only a high school diploma (55%) is more than double the risk for jobs that require a bachelor’s degree (24%).57

TRENDS: THE LANDSCAPE OF WORK

Economic growth will be led by the non-traditional work and small businesses of the gig economy. As much as 94% of U.S. net employment growth in the last decade has come from alternative or contingent labor, according to a National Bureau of Economic Research report.58 With an increasing number of workers who are contractors, work in small businesses, or rely on a combination of side gigs, the number of people experiencing gaps in income and going without benefits will rise. Approximately 500,000 Marylanders have found work opportunities within the gig economy, but with new “on-demand” internet platforms bringing global competition, opportunities and earnings are shrinking for some gig workers, with those working for lower wages feeling the impact the most.59 Millennials are leading the way in the gig economy, with 48% nationally saying they earn income on the side (i.e., in addition to what they consider their primary employment), compared to 28% of baby boomers.60 These arrangements are more volatile than traditional jobs, and workers bear the brunt of changes in demand, the price of materials, and transportation costs, as well as impacts related to cyberattacks, natural and human-made disasters, and economic downturns.61
The rise of automation will require a workforce with more digital skills. Rather than being replaced outright, many jobs across all job types will require an increasing ability to incorporate new technologies, work with data, and make data-based decisions. Lower-skill, low-wage jobs in occupations such as food preparation and service, production, office and administrative support, and transportation are especially vulnerable to being replaced with automation. ALICE workers will need to gain new skills rapidly, and that will require more on-the-job training, more flexibility to change career paths, and different kinds of education providers. The benefits of increased technology will include improved accuracy in areas like pharmaceutical pill dispensing, and reduced risk of injury for workers such as warehouse packers and long-distance drivers.

The number of low-wage jobs will continue to increase, despite automation. Even though most jobs will change and evolve with demand as well as technology, it may not be economical or effective to automate certain jobs. For example, low-wage Maintainer jobs in areas like education and health care require employees to be on-site and often involve relational skills that are difficult or impossible to automate (although these workers will still have to learn to work with technology). From 2016 to 2026, the occupations projected to have the largest number of new jobs in Maryland will be within the sales and related occupations; the median wage for these jobs in 2018 was $13.45 hour, which was not enough to support the single-adult or family Survival Budgets. Of the state’s top-20 growth occupations, 47% will pay less than $15 per hour, 41% will not require any formal educational credential at all, and 36% will require only a high school diploma.

Students will continue to be a significant part of the labor force. As more families face financial hardship and the cost of college continues to rise, more students will have to work while in school. Nationally, 20% of high school students, 41% of full-time college students, and 82% of part-time college students had a job in 2017. What’s more, despite many students being employed, 45% of college students who completed the largest annual survey of basic college needs reported having experienced food insecurity in the previous month, and 56% had experienced housing insecurity in the prior year. And even with more students working, student debt will continue to increase as more students from lower-income families attend college and costs continue to rise. In Maryland, 55% of college students who graduated in 2018 were in debt with an average loan of $29,178, a 34% increase from 2010.
NEXT STEPS: DATA FOR ACTION

The ALICE data highlights significant problems in the Maryland economy in 2018: stagnant wages, a rising cost of living, and 39% of the state's households unable to afford even the most basic budget. However, this data can also be used to generate solutions to these problems that help ALICE households and create equity across communities. The measures of cost of living, financial hardship, and changes in the labor force presented in this Report can help stakeholders ask the right questions and make data-driven decisions. This data can help policymakers and community organizations identify gaps in community resources, and it can guide businesses in finding additional ways to assist their workforce and increase productivity — both in times of economic growth and in periods of economic recovery.

This section of the Report maps the 2018 ALICE data, showing gaps in resources to help direct assistance and fill immediate needs. When analyzed in relation to broader data on health, education, and social factors, these maps help focus solutions on underlying causes of hardship, and they also highlight areas of success.

IDENTIFYING GAPS

ALICE households often live in areas with limited community resources, making it even more difficult to make ends meet. The lack of some resources has immediate and direct costs. For example, without public transportation or nearby publicly funded preschools, ALICE families pay more for transportation and child care. Other costs, such as the consequences of limited access to health care providers, open space, or libraries, accumulate over time.

With the ALICE data tools, stakeholders can map where ALICE lives along with the location of community resources — such as public libraries or disaster-relief services — to identify gaps by town, ZIP code, or county (Figure 10). This data can help stakeholders answer targeted questions, including the following:

Do ALICE households have access to libraries?

Access to public libraries is especially important for ALICE families because libraries provide information on social services and job opportunities, free internet and computer access, and a range of free programs, community meetings, and even 3-D printers. After a natural disaster, libraries serve as second responders, providing electricity, internet access, charging stations, heat or air conditioning, and current information on recovery efforts. In lower-income communities, the library can provide a safe and inclusive place for individuals and families. A 2019 Gallup Poll found that lower-income households (earning less than $40,000 per year) visit the library more frequently than average- and higher-income households.

There are 193 libraries across Maryland’s 24 counties, shown in gold dots on the state map in Figure 10 (the figure highlights Somerset County, but for an interactive map of all counties, go to UnitedForALICE.org/Maryland). This data can help stakeholders identify where there are gaps in needed services (such as in areas with a high percentage of ALICE households but few or no libraries) and what type of intervention might be most helpful. For example, areas with a small population but a high percentage of ALICE households may benefit more from mobile library services than a new brick-and-mortar building, or library services (like free computers) could be offered in other public buildings.
**Are the needs of ALICE households met after a natural disaster?**

Mapping where ALICE households live in relation to the impact of natural disasters such as floods, hurricanes, tornadoes, or wildfires can help first and second responders meet critical needs. Disasters directly threaten the homes of ALICE families since more affordable housing is often located in vulnerable areas. The jobs where ALICE works are also more at risk, since low-wage and hourly paid jobs are more likely to be interrupted or lost. In addition, ALICE households have few or no savings for an emergency to begin with, and their communities often have fewer resources to assist households.  

Knowing where ALICE households live can help federal, state, and local governments target preparation, response, and assistance for natural disasters, and help companies plan where to deploy their workforce and support. Because ALICE households and communities do not have the same resources as their wealthier counterparts, namely insurance or savings, they will need more assistance over a longer period of time to recover. Strategies will vary by rural or urban context, the quality of the housing stock, and the age composition of the community (with the young and the elderly more dependent on care).
UNDERSTANDING ALICE: HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND SOCIAL FACTORS

In most contexts, having a low income is associated with lower levels of education, higher rates of unemployment, and poorer health. Communities that have been able to disrupt that association can provide important insights on how to change environments or policy to support ALICE households. By tracking where ALICE lives with other indicators, it is possible to identify counties that have overcome a challenge or bucked a trend. Stakeholders can then learn from these examples and adapt those solutions to their own areas.

Tracking relationships between ALICE households and other variables at the county level — in areas such as technology or health — can also help stakeholders ask important questions and target resources where they can have the greatest impact. To see interactive maps of socioeconomic indicators in Maryland, visit our website: UnitedForALICE.org/Maryland

Here are two possible questions:

Is internet access related to income?

Access to digital technology has exploded over the last three decades: By 2017, 91% of U.S. adults owned a computing device and 81% had a broadband internet subscription. In Maryland, 85% of households had access to the internet at home in 2018. Technology has also become more important for work, education, community participation, and, crucially, disaster response and recovery.

But access to technology still varies by income and geography. For many families, that lack of access translates directly to reduced job opportunities, educational opportunities, health care access, and financial tools. For example, low-income adults are more likely to use their phones to search and apply for jobs; nationally, 32% of smartphone users with income below $30,000 have applied for a job on their phone, compared with 7% of smartphone users with income above $75,000. Although smartphone technology is constantly improving, many tasks are still more difficult to complete on the small screen of a smartphone as opposed to a computer (e.g., word processing, filling out applications, editing spreadsheets), and many websites still do not have a mobile version, making navigation time-consuming and difficult, or sometimes impossible. Households without internet access are also at greater risk of being undercounted in the 2020 Census, when they may need government programs and services the most.

This high usage of smartphones for a critical task indicates that many low-income households have limited access to the internet at home. In Maryland, 27% of households with income below the ALICE Threshold do not have an internet subscription, compared with only 5% for households above the ALICE Threshold. Rates also vary widely by location: The counties with the lowest access rates and lowest income are in rural areas, where about one-third of households below the ALICE Threshold do not have an internet subscription. According to the Task Force on Rural Internet, Broadband, Wireless and Cellular Service, more than 300,000 rural residents in Maryland do not have access to high speed internet. Identifying these gaps can help businesses and government provide more resources to libraries, establish training centers, or target low-cost internet plans.
Are drug overdoses driven by income?

Maryland, like many states across the country, has experienced an increase in drug overdose deaths over the last decade, largely due to an increase in deaths from opioid use. The total number of drug overdose deaths in Maryland more than tripled from 2007 to 2017, increasing from 628 to 2,009 per year. In 2017, the governor declared a state of emergency in response to the ravaging effects of the opioid epidemic on counties throughout Maryland.80

Several national studies have suggested that counties with the worst economic prospects have the highest rates of substance use disorders and drug overdose hospitalizations and deaths. Yet that relationship varies across states, as people of all incomes, geographies, ages, and races/ethnicities suffer from substance use disorders.81 In Maryland, overdose deaths have been reported in every one of the state’s 24 counties, with the highest rate in Baltimore City and the lowest rate in Montgomery County. In 2017, while some of the highest numbers of overdose deaths occurred in counties that also had a high percentage of households below the ALICE Threshold, overall there was not a significant relationship between income (defined by the percentage of households below the ALICE Threshold) and drug overdose deaths across Maryland’s counties.82

Understanding which communities have been hardest hit by substance use disorders can help planners and stakeholders see the complex ways in which addiction and financial hardship interact. Although economic standing is not always a risk factor for drug addiction in Maryland, the consequences of addiction hit low-income families harder. The impact of addiction and substance use disorders on families often means a decline in their financial position, causing many families to become or remain ALICE. A family’s income may be reduced if addiction reduces an adult’s ability to work, and these families often have substantial health care costs. For example, addiction treatment ranges from $1,176 to $6,552 per month nationally. And lower-income families may not have access to such treatment programs, which only prolongs and compounds the outcomes of addiction. Substance use disorders take a toll on the stability of families and marriages, on parenting, and on the physical and mental health of family members.83 For all of these reasons, there can be huge value for community stakeholders in mapping where ALICE lives with drug overdose deaths to identify communities that have the greatest need but the fewest resources to address addiction-related problems.84

THE BENEFITS OF MOVING TOWARD EQUITY IN MARYLAND

The strength of the Maryland economy is inextricably tied to the financial stability of its residents. The more people participate in a state’s economy, the stronger it will be. In 2018, when the national economy was often described as “strong,” the reality was that 860,233 households — more than one-third of all households in the state — struggled to support themselves. If all households earned enough to meet their basic needs, not only would each family’s hardship be eased, but the Maryland economy would also benefit substantially. This is true in times of economic growth, and it becomes even more important during a period of crisis and recovery.

To better understand the extent to which financial hardship is a drain on a state’s economy, this section provides an estimate of the benefits of raising the income of all households to the ALICE Threshold. While lifting family income would be an enormous undertaking, the statewide benefits of doing so make a compelling case for pointing both policy and investment toward that goal.
Based on 2018 data, the economic benefit to Maryland of bringing all households to the ALICE Threshold would be approximately $80 billion, meaning that the state GDP would grow by 19% (Figure 11). This is based on three categories of economic enhancement:

**Earnings:** Maryland’s 2018 GDP reflected earnings of $25 billion by the state’s households below the ALICE Threshold. Bringing all households to the ALICE Threshold would have a two-fold impact:

- **Additional earnings:** $29 billion statewide.

- **Multiplier effect:** Studies show that almost all additional wages earned by low-wage workers are put back into the economy through increased consumer spending, which in turn spurs business growth. Building on economic calculations used by Moody’s Analytics, this estimate assumes an economic multiplier of 1.2, meaning that a $1 increase in compensation to low-wage workers leads to a $1.20 increase in economic activity. In Maryland, this increased economic activity would be valued at $35 billion.

**Tax revenue:** Maryland’s 2018 GDP reflected tax revenue of $1 billion from the state’s households below the ALICE Threshold. Bringing all households to the ALICE Threshold would have a two-fold impact:

- **Additional tax revenue:** With additional earnings, there would also be additional taxes paid and reduced usage of tax credits such as EITC for low-income earners, totaling an additional $2 billion in tax revenue for Maryland.

- **Multiplier effect:** Additional state tax revenue gives state and local governments the opportunity to make investments that matter most to the well-being of residents and businesses — from tax cuts for small businesses to improvements in infrastructure, including health care and education — that can yield a high return on investment. Based on work by the Congressional Budget Office and Moody’s Analytics, the estimated multiplier is 1.44, which would mean an added $3 billion in economic activity in Maryland.

**Community spending:** Maryland’s 2018 GDP reflected community spending of $18 billion on assistance to the state’s households below the ALICE Threshold. When all households can meet their basic needs, this spending can be reallocated to projects and programs that help families and communities thrive, not just survive.

- **Indirect benefits:** Added value to the state GDP would come in the form of indirect benefits associated with increased financial stability. These benefits include improved health (and reduced health care expenditures), reduced crime and homelessness, and greater community engagement. Figure 11 uses the very conservative estimate of an added $11 billion (or 2.5% of the state GDP, which is the estimated cost of childhood poverty alone). This is still far short of the total indirect benefits of bringing all households to the ALICE Threshold, as it does not include benefits for adults or factor in the direct impact of redeploying private and nonprofit spending currently used to alleviate poverty.
### Figure 11.
Economic Benefits of Raising All Households to the ALICE Threshold, Maryland, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2018 Situation</th>
<th>Earnings</th>
<th>Tax Revenue</th>
<th>Community Spending</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>39% of Households Below ALICE Threshold</td>
<td>$25 Billion</td>
<td>$1 Billion</td>
<td>$18 Billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>$80 Billion Per Year</strong></td>
<td><strong>$29 Billion</strong> (Additional Earnings)</td>
<td><strong>$1 Billion</strong> (Additional Tax Revenue)</td>
<td><strong>$11 Billion</strong> (Indirect Benefits)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>$35 Billion</strong> (Increased Consumer Spending Spurs Economic Growth)</td>
<td><strong>$3 Billion</strong> (Tax Cuts and Increased Spending on Infrastructure)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>$64 Billion</strong></td>
<td><strong>$5 Billion</strong></td>
<td><strong>$11 Billion</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Benefits for Households and Local Communities

In addition to the economic benefits to the state if all households had income above the ALICE Threshold, there would be a significant number of positive changes for families and their communities. Our 2019 companion Report, *The Consequences of Insufficient Household Income*, outlines the tough choices ALICE and poverty-level families make when they do not have enough income to afford basic necessities, and how those decisions affect their broader communities. By contrast, Figure 12 outlines the improvements that Maryland families and their communities could experience if policies were implemented that moved all households above the ALICE Threshold.
Figure 12.
The Benefits of Sufficient Income

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If households have sufficient income for...</th>
<th>Impact on ALICE</th>
<th>Impact on the Community</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Safe, Affordable Housing</strong></td>
<td>Improved health through safer environments and decreased stress, improved educational performance and outcomes for children, greater stability for household members, a means to build wealth for homeowners</td>
<td>Less traffic, lower health care costs, better maintained housing stock, lower crime rates, less spending on homelessness/social services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Quality Child Care and Education</strong></td>
<td>Improved academic performance, higher lifetime earnings, higher graduation rates, improved job stability/access for parents, better health</td>
<td>Decreased racial/ethnic and socioeconomic performance gaps, decreased income disparities, high return on investment (especially for early childhood education)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adequate Food</strong></td>
<td>Decreased food insecurity, improved health (especially for children and seniors), decreased likelihood of developmental delays and behavioral problems in school</td>
<td>Lower health care costs, improved workplace productivity, less spending on emergency food services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reliable Transportation</strong></td>
<td>Improved access to job opportunities, school and child care, health care, retail markets, social services, and support systems (friends, family, faith communities)</td>
<td>Fewer high-emissions vehicles on the road, more diverse labor market, decreased income disparities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Quality Health Care</strong></td>
<td>Better mental and physical health (including increased life expectancy), improved access to preventative care, fewer missed days of work/school, decreased need for emergency services</td>
<td>Decreased health care spending, fewer communicable diseases, improved workplace productivity, decreased wealth-health gap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reliable Technology</strong></td>
<td>Improved access to job opportunities, expanded access to health information and tele-health services, increased job and academic performance</td>
<td>Decreased “digital divide” in access to technology by income, increased opportunities for civic participation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Savings</strong></td>
<td>Ability to withstand emergencies without impacting long-term financial stability and greater asset accumulation over time (e.g., interest on savings; ability to invest in education, property, or finance a secure retirement)</td>
<td>Greater charitable contributions; less spending on emergency health, food, and senior services</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: For sources, see Figure 12: Sources, following the Endnotes for this Report
In addition to the benefits listed above, greater financial stability and having basic needs met can reduce the anxiety that comes from struggling to survive, or not having a cushion for emergencies. It also leaves more time to spend with loved ones and to give back to the community — all of which contribute to happiness and improved life satisfaction.93

Having money saves money: Having enough income means that households can build their credit scores and avoid late fees, predatory lending, and higher interest rates.94 That, in turn, means that ALICE families have more resources to use to reduce risks (e.g., by purchasing insurance), stay healthy (e.g., by getting preventative health care), or save and invest in education or assets that could grow over time (e.g., buying a home or opening a small business). Instead of a downward cycle of accumulating fees, debt, and stress, families can have an upward cycle of savings and health that makes them even better able to be engaged in their communities and, in turn, enjoy a reasonable quality of life.

For communities, this leads to greater economic activity, greater tax revenue, lower levels of crime, and fewer demands on the social safety net, allowing more investment in vital infrastructure, schools, and health care.95 Strengthening communities by strengthening ALICE families means a higher quality of life for all.


Note: 2007 data not available; average of 2006 and 2008 used instead


Note: Data are only available up to 2017, therefore there is a lag of one year; for example, 2018 ALICE data uses the 2017 data


Note: Data are only available up to 2017, therefore there is a lag of one year; for example, 2018 ALICE data uses the 2017 data


54 Vinsel, L., & Russell, A. (2016, April 7). Hail the maintainers: Capitalism excels at innovation but is failing at maintenance, and for most lives it is maintenance that matters more. Aeon. Retrieved from https://aeon.co/essays/innovation-is-overvalued-maintenance-often-matters-more


85 Congressional Budget Office. (2019, July 8). The effects on employment and family income of increasing the federal minimum wage. Retrieved from https://www.cbo.gov/publication/55410


86 Note: While there are increased costs to employers for paying higher wages — which may be passed on to consumers — these impacts primarily occur when wages are increased for jobs with wages well above the Household Survival Budget (See Congressional Budget Office, 2019).


Congressional Budget Office. (2019, July 8). The effects on employment and family income of increasing the federal minimum wage. Retrieved from https://www.cbo.gov/publication/55410


87 Note: The tax calculations include only state taxes, not federal or local. The Congressional Budget Office estimates the impact of tax cuts targeted at lower- and middle-income people and achieved without borrowing as high as 1.5; Zandi estimates the multiplier for increased infrastructure spending at 1.44. This calculation uses the conservative estimate of 1.44.


89 The National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine analyzes the cost of childhood poverty and estimates that reversing it would add 5.4 percent to the state GDP. To be conservative, this analysis uses Holzer’s estimate that childhood poverty costs 2.5 percent of GDP in related health and criminal justice expenses.
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